Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/682,900	LANIER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Arlen Soderquist	1743
All Participants:	Status of Application	on: <u>AF</u>
(1) <u>Arlen Soderquist</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>David E. Baker</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 2 December 2003	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Note of the Shown of Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Note of the Shown of Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Note of Yes, provide a brief description: .	Applicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 8,16 Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE See Continuation Sheet	GENERAL NATURE OF WHA	T WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep directly resulted in the allowance of the applicati of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief set 	on. The examiner will provide parate record of the substance	a written summary of the substance of the interview. since the interview
	•	
		ı
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Ap	nlicant/Applicant/a Degree = -t-	this Cianatura if constitut
(Ap	phicanivApplicant's Representa	tive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner proposed and amendment that would bring the application into condition for allowance. Applicant authorized an additional month extension of time charged to deposit account 50-0206 (\$ 330.00) and an examiner's amendment to make the change.